



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,476	03/04/2002	Noriyoshi Nishiyama	MATS:037	5054

7590 11/20/2002

ROSSI & ASSOCIATES  
P.O. BOX 826  
Ashburn, VA 20146-0826

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

PHAM, LEDA T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2834	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/090,476

Applicant(s)

NISHIYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Leda T. Pham

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11/4/02.

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 4, 7 – 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,729,072).

Regarding to claim 1 and claim 8, Hirano teaches a motor (figure 1) comprising a stator formed by assembling a plurality of divided stator members having teeth (11), and a rotor facing said stator (not shown) wherein each one of the divided stator members is formed by laminating a plurality of core sheets and bonding at least parts of end faces along a laminating direction of the core sheets with adhesive (see abstract).

Regarding to claim 2 and claim 9, Hirano teaches the motor wherein laminated end faces (16) of each one of the divided stator members are welded to fix the core sheets each other at parts of the teeth except a section facing said rotor (figure 3).

Regarding to claim 3 and claim 10, Hirano teaches the motor wherein laminated end faces of each one of the divided stator members are welded to fix the core sheets each other at back faces of the teeth (16, figure 1).

Regarding to claim 4 and claim 11, Hirano teaches the motor further comprising a welding section (16) for linking the divided stator members adjacent to each other by welding (figure 1).

Regarding to claim 7 and claim 14, Hirano teaches the motor wherein the teeth are wound with conductive windings (13) in a concentrated manner via insulators (figure 1).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5 - 6, 12 - 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirano in view of Torossian et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,103,195).

Regarding to claim 5 and claim 12, Hirano teaches the claimed invention except for the added limitations a non-bonding section providing near said welding section to block the adhesive from infiltrating around said welding section.

Torossian teaches the laminating stator core having a non-bonding section providing near said welding section to provide uniform separation and insulation between the individual segment (see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the non-bonding section in the stator core as taught by Torossian for the purpose of provide uniform separation and insulation between the individual segment.

Regarding to claim 6 and claim 13, Torossian discloses the claimed invention except for the non-bonding section coating with water and oil repellent material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select water and oil repellent material to be the non-bonding section in the stator core, since it has been held

to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability of the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leda T. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-4864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) first Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9176 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Leda T. Pham  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2834

LTP  
November 18, 2002

*Thomas M. Brighty*  
THOMAS M. BRIGHTY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2100  
28th